



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED IN	RST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCK		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/692,606	10/19/00	CHU			J	YOR920000334	
		MM	91/0927	$\neg$	EXAMINER		
ROBERT M. T IBM CORPORA		1111			KIELII ART UNIT	N, E PAPER NUMBER	
INTELLECTUA P.O. BOX 21 YORKTOWN HE	=	LAW DEF <sup>.</sup> 598	Τ.		2813	. 09/27/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

<u>~~</u> \	4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/692,606	CHU ET AL.	
Offic Action Summ ry	Examiner	Art Unit	•
<b></b>	Erik Kielin	2813	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1			
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal m ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the mo .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		—	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stag	je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			olication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	peen received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	
S. Potent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-30, drawn to a method of preparing a Si/SiGe layer on a semiconductor substrate, classified in class 438, subclass 459.
  - II. Claims 31-42, drawn to a multi-layer substrate, classified in class 428, subclass446.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the second substrate layer can be applied by sputtering instead of bonding and annealing which is a different process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,606

Art Unit: 2813

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: within claims 1-30, there are the following species

A. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10, 18-23 are drawn to a method of preparing a Si/SiGe layer on a semiconductor substrate.

- B. Claims 2-4, 28-30 are drawn to growing additional layers of SiGe.
- C. Claims 5, 24-27 are drawn to thinning or removing a substrate.
- D. Claims 7, 8, 11, 12 are drawn to forming an encapsulation layer.
- E. Claims 13-17 are drawn to forming a p-i-n diode.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to



Art Unit: 2813

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. During a telephone conversation with Robert Trepp on March 20, 2001 on March 20, 2001 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-30. Because the restriction requirement, made by another examiner, was incomplete for failing to indicate the patentably distinct species, the present restriction requirement is being made.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is (703) 306-5980 and e-mail address is erik.kielin@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached by telephone on Monday through Thursday 9:00 AM until 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached at (703) 308-2417 or by e-mail at charles bowers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for the group is (703) 308-7722 or -7724.

EΚ

September 26, 2001

Charles Bowers

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800